Coastal Georgia Ecosystem Report Card 2014
Located in the center of the South Atlantic Bight, coastal Georgia is a region rich in history, beauty, and natural wonders. Georgia’s coast is bound on the east by 14 barrier islands which buffer the mainland from the Atlantic Ocean. Most of these islands remain undeveloped and boast pristine beaches perfect for nesting sea turtles and shorebirds.

Five major freshwater rivers feed the Georgia coast, forming an extensive estuarine ecosystem. The 368,000 acres of saltmarsh provide essential nursery grounds for a diverse range of animals including fish, shrimp, oysters, and birds. Saltmarshes protect upland areas from the force of tides and serve as a natural filtration system for pollutants and nutrients that often enter waterways leading to the ocean.

Coastal Georgia’s river system is woven together by hundreds of streams, brackish and freshwater marshes, bogs, and swamps that extend far inland. This network delivers vast amounts of freshwater to the coast and creates a range of habitats that support diverse wildlife.

Although relatively undeveloped, the coastal Georgia landscape is changing nonetheless. New residents are drawn by the region’s natural beauty and abundance of recreational opportunities. Through a combination of wise management, stewardship, and collaboration, everything we love about coastal Georgia can be conserved for generations to come.

The importance of creating a report card

The Georgia Department of Natural Resources (DNR) is the state agency entrusted to manage Georgia’s diverse coastal natural resources. DNR collects data through numerous inventory and monitoring activities conducted along the coast. This report card contains grades for various categories produced by comparing and contrasting data from monitoring activities with known standards and reference points. While this report card does not address every indicator or environmental issue facing the coast, it does provide the public with broad fact-based knowledge about the condition of Georgia’s coastal resources.
Coastal Georgia received a B+, 76%, a moderately good score. Three indices covering 12 indicators including human health, fisheries, and wildlife data make up the grade for coastal Georgia. Scores ranged widely, with sea turtle nesting trends scoring the highest, a 100%, or A+, and blue crabs scoring the lowest, a 22%, or D-.

Coastal Georgia monitoring programs assess oyster reefs (top), wood stork productivity (middle), and sea turtle hatching (bottom).

**The human health index** scored a 90%, or A, in 2014. Overall, human health indicators are good, meaning that it is generally safe to swim, as well as to eat local fish and shellfish. For more information on fish consumption guidelines visit epd.georgia.gov/fish-consumption-guidelines.

**The fisheries index** scored a 68%, or B, in 2014. Overall, fisheries indicators are moderately good, which means that sustainable fishing practices are used and that the coastal environment is able to support most commercial and recreational species. The blue crab indicator fared poorly in 2014 likely due to climate conditions.

**The wildlife index** scored a 69%, or B, in 2014. Overall, wildlife indicators are moderately good, suggesting that key species of birds, sea turtles, and whales are being maintained. Populations of these high priority species are being conserved and improved due to attentive and robust management strategies.

- **Scoring Legend**
  - A: ≥80–100% good
  - B: ≥60–<80% moderately good
  - C: ≥40–<60% moderate
  - D: ≥20–<40% poor
  - F: 0–<20% very poor

**Fecal coliform**: 92%

**Enterococcus**: 82%

**Fish consumption advisories**: 95%

**Shrimp**: 100%
Environmental report cards are used by resource managers to assess and report on the ecosystem health of a region. Developing rigorous, quantitative assessments provides an accountability that is increasingly beneficial to support environmental protection efforts. A five-step process of developing report cards is used to assess progress: 1) conceptualize, 2) choose indicators, 3) define thresholds, 4) calculate scores, and 5) communicate results.

This report card provides a transparent, timely, and geographically detailed assessment of health in coastal Georgia. Coastal Georgia health is defined as the progress of three human health indicators (enterococcus, fecal coliform, and fish consumption advisories), three fisheries indicators (red drum, blue crabs, and shrimp), and six wildlife indicators (wood storks, American oystercatchers, sea turtle hatching, sea turtle nesting, right whale calves, and right whale population growth rate) toward scientifically-derived thresholds or goals. Each of these groups of indicators are averaged into indices; the human health, fisheries, and wildlife indices. The three indices are combined into the Coastal Georgia Ecological Health Score.

Preliminary analysis of water quality indicators was conducted during development of this report card. While there are thresholds for water quality indicators through EPA's National Coastal Condition Assessment, they do not adequately apply to the unique conditions in coastal Georgia (see page at right).

For detailed information on indicators, thresholds, and methodology visit CoastalGaDNR.org/ReportCard.

### Grading scale for the indicators

The report card grading scale is a little different from the grading scale you saw in school. We use a 20-point scale to score the indicators, instead of the 10-point scale. Using a 20-point scale for environmental report cards is widely accepted as the best way to communicate health of an ecosystem. By using a scale that is equally divided, small changes in indicators can be more easily seen over time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>≥80–100%</td>
<td>All human health, fisheries, and wildlife indicators meet desired levels. Indicators in these locations tend to be very good, most often leading to preferred habitat conditions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>≥60–&lt;80%</td>
<td>Most human health, fisheries, and wildlife indicators meet desired levels. Indicators in these locations tend to be good, often leading to acceptable habitat conditions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>≥40–&lt;60%</td>
<td>There is a mix of good and poor levels of human health, fisheries, and wildlife indicators. Indicators in these locations tend to be fair, leading to sufficient habitat conditions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>≥20–&lt;40%</td>
<td>Some or few human health, fisheries, and wildlife indicators meet desired levels. Indicators in these locations tend to be poor, often leading to degraded habitat conditions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0–&lt;20%</td>
<td>Very few or no human health, fisheries, and wildlife indicators meet desired levels. Indicators in these locations tend to be very poor, most often leading to unacceptable habitat conditions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sample Indicators:**
- **red drum** 83%
- **blue crabs** 22%
- **American oystercatchers** 46%
- **wood storks** 67%
**Water quality & dissolved oxygen**

DNR monitors water quality throughout the coastal region. Dissolved oxygen (DO) is one important indicator used to quantify the health of a water body. Low DO is often a sign of degraded water quality. However, some areas in coastal Georgia, especially upriver blackwater creeks and coastal estuaries not fed by freshwater rivers, naturally experience low DO in warmer months without the expected negative effects of algal blooms, fish die-offs, and reduced species diversity observed elsewhere.

A preliminary analysis of DO data from 2014 was conducted for this report card using thresholds established by EPA’s National Coastal Condition Assessment. Coastal Georgia’s overall DO score is an 81%, or an A-.

Additional monitoring and research is underway by DNR to understand how changes in water quality affect these complex systems and to determine other appropriate indicators of coastal health. For more information on water quality in coastal Georgia, please visit: CoastalGaDNR.org/cm/wq.

**Fisheries & blue crabs**

Fisheries indicators in Georgia are important to analyze as they constitute a huge resource along the coast. While red drum and shrimp had high scores in 2014, blue crabs scored the lowest of any indicator in the report card. The blue crab indicator is based on the number of spawning female crabs during peak egg production. Since 1976, DNR’s trawl survey has monitored blue crab abundance from 36 sites located in creeks, sounds, and the ocean off coastal Georgia. This information has been the basis for Georgia’s blue crab management.

The spawning stock decline observed in 2014 is similar to the downward trend seen in commercial harvest and catch per unit effort data. Commercial harvest was 43% below the 20-year average and catch per unit effort was 54% below the 14-year average. DNR’s trawl survey reported a 65% decline in juveniles in 2014 and an 88% decline from the 20-year mean spawning stock. These declines are most likely due to climatic conditions affecting juvenile recruitment rather than commercial fishing effort. In 2014, spawning and larval recruitment success was affected by cooler than normal fall water temperatures and double the average spring rainfall. DNR staff are working with the Blue Crab Advisory Panel to address these issues.
You can help protect Georgia’s coastal resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How you can help</th>
<th>Benefits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Install a rain barrel for your home to collect water for irrigation</td>
<td>Conserves water which is essential for healthy productive estuaries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspect and pump out your septic system every 3-5 years</td>
<td>Functioning septic systems keep bacteria from entering waterways, which in turn can help reduce beach advisories and shellfish harvest closures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abide by all beach lighting rules and ordinances during sea turtle nesting and hatching season</td>
<td>Hatchling sea turtles can become easily disoriented and fail to crawl to the water if our homes and flashlights illuminate the beach.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Know your recreational fishing catch and size limits</td>
<td>These limits help sustain a healthy population of fish species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buy a Georgia hunting or fishing license</td>
<td>License fees support research and conservation of coastal species and habitats.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pick up after your pets</td>
<td>Fecal bacteria from pet waste can wash into creeks and rivers, resulting in beach swimming advisories or shellfish harvest closures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participate in monitoring and clean-up activities in local waterways</td>
<td>Citizen data can alert resource managers to potential issues. Visit GeorgiaAdoptaStream.com and Riversalive.com/index.htm.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Georgia DNR sustains, protects, & conserves the coast

The mission of the Department of Natural Resources is to sustain, enhance, protect, and conserve Georgia’s natural, historic, and cultural resources for present and future generations, while recognizing the importance of promoting the development of commerce and industry that utilize sound environmental practices. Along Georgia’s coast, several Divisions of DNR work collaboratively, including the Coastal Resources Division (CoastalGaDNR.org), Wildlife Resources Division (georgiawildlife.org), and Environmental Protection Division (epd.georgia.gov). Together they manage the region’s unique natural resources for wildlife habitat, as well as recreational and commercial uses by the citizens of Georgia.

Acknowledgements

This report card was produced by the Integration & Application Network, University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science, and Georgia DNR and published in November 2015. Data were collected by Georgia DNR’s Coastal Resources Division, Wildlife Resources Division, and Environmental Protection Division. This report card provides an assessment of coastal Georgia ecosystem health for 2014. This report card was funded by grant award # NA12NOS4190171 from the Office for Coastal Management, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. The statements, findings, and conclusions do not necessarily reflect the views of OCM or NOAA.

Workshop participants in December 2014 who helped produce this report card.